Evaluation of Irrigation Regime on Onion Yield in Konta Special Woreda, Ethiopia

Demeke Mengist[1], Tamirneh Kifle[2*]
[1]Areka Agricultural Research Center
[2]Hawassa Agricultural Research Center

Abstract: Irrigation scheduling(when and how much to apply) is the primary tool to improve water use efficiency, increase crop yields, increase the availability of water resources, and contribute positive effect for the quality of soil and ground water. Field experiment was conducted for two consecutive years to determine appropriate scheduling of onion production. The experiment ware arranged in RBCD with four treatments (T1=125%MAD, T2=MAD, 75%MAD, and T4= Farmers practices) and replicated four times. The result shows that there is no significant yield difference between treatment of 100%MAD (12311.6kg/ha) with 445.2mm seasonal amount of irrigation water and 75% of MAD (12931.4kg/ha) with 333.9mm seasonal amount of irrigation water. The highest total yield (12931.4kg/ha) was obtained from 75% of MAD by applying 333.9mm of irrigation water and the lowest yield (9824.2kg/ha) was obtained at Farmers practice by applying 484.5mm depth of irrigation water. The highest water use efficiencies of 4.66kg /m-3 is recorded at 75% MAD and the lowest water use efficiency of (2.36kg/m3 and (2.03kg/m3) is recorded at 125MAD and Farmers practice. For onion production applying irrigation water at long interval reduce the yield and water use efficiency. Therefore, applying irrigation water at 75% manageable allowable depletion increase onion yield and water use efficiency.

Keywords: Efficiency, Interval, MAD, Onion, Scheduling.

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture has been the basis of the Ethiopian economy for centuries. Agricultural productivity was growing continuously in the two decades, even though the also increasing over time. The ever increasing world population and the demand for additional water supply by industrial, municipal, and agricultural sectors exert a lot of pressure on renewable water resources forcing the agricultural sector to use the available irrigation water efficiently to produce more food to meet the increasing demand (Andarzian *et al*., 2011).

Determining crop yield response to irrigation is crucial for crop selection, economic analysis and for practicing effective irrigation management strategies. Furthermore, this enables to know the time of irrigation aswell as to optimize yield, water use efficiency and ultimate profit (Payero *et al*., 2009).

Under limited irrigation water supply, irrigation scheduling is also very useful in determining irrigation strategies. Irrigation scheduling is one of the most important tools for developing best management practices for irrigated areas (Pejic *et al*., 2008).

Irrigation scheduling is the technique of applying water on a timely and accurate basis to the crop, and is the key to conserving water and improving irrigation performance and sustainability of irrigated agriculture (Lopez *et al*., 2009). Jensen, M.E., (1980) referred to irrigation scheduling as "a planning and decision making activity that the farm manager or operator of an irrigated farm is involved in before and during most of the growing season".

Irrigation scheduling involves making a decision on how much and when to apply it. Three factors influence the decision: water needs by the crop (evapotranspiration), water availability, and water holding capacity of the soil (Mohamed and Makki, 2005). Appling irrigation water at the right amount and time increase the yield. Short irrigation interval and long irrigation interval reduce the yield of Tomato (Tamirneh, 2019)

Peer Review Process: The Journal "Middle East Research Journal of Agriculture and Food Science" abides by a double-blind peer review process such that the journal does not disclose the identity of the reviewer(s) to the author(s) and does not disclose the identity of the author(s) to the reviewer(s).

43

Modern scientific irrigation scheduling uses a single approach or combination of weather, soil or plant based approaches. This may involve estimating the earliest date to permit efficient irrigation or the latest date to avoid the detrimental effects of water stress on the crop (Ritchie and Johnson, 1991). Generally, the field experiment is planned, to evaluate the responses of onion to irrigation regime (when and how much) and to identify water productivity under optimal irrigation regime.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Description of the Study Area

The experiment was conducted in Konta Special district for two consecutive years. The experimental site is located latitude range between 6° 10' 30"- 7° 23' 00" North , longitude range between 36° 13' 30"- 37° 05'00"East, and an altitude range of 800-2625m.a.s.l. The area is characterized by bimodal rainfall pattern with a short rainy season (belg) and (kirmet). The soil textural class of the experimental area is clay with pH of 5.65 - 5.96.

Treatment Set Up and Experimental Design

The experiment has four treatments with four replications and arranged in randomized complete block (RCBD). The treatments were T1 (125%MAD), T2(MAD), T3(75%MAD) and T4(Farmers practices). The size of each individual plots had kept at 4 m*4 m. The spacing between plots and blocks were 1 m. The spacing between onion plants and rows was kept at 10cm, 20cm and 40 cm, respectively. Each plot has 6 ridges of onion plants with double row on each ridge and 40 plants in each row with a total plant population of 480 in each plot. Each experimental treatment was fertilized with recommended fertilizer application, that was 200kg/ha and 100kg/ha of NPS and Urea respectively. The full dose of NPS was applied at transplanting, where as Urea was applied by splitting into two parts, half first three weeks after transplanting and the rest just at mid-stage. All cultural practices were done to all treatments in accordance to the recommendation made for the area.

Crop Water and Irrigation Water Requirement

Daily weather data, including daily maximum and minimum temperature, rainfall, wind speed, and relative humidity were obtained from the nearest Wolaita Sodo meteorological station. The daily reference evapotranspiration of study areas was estimated by using FAO CROPWAT 8 program by using daily weather data. Kc for every growth stage was adopted from (Allen *et al.*, 1998) and then, crop water requirement was calculated using the following equation:

$$ETc = ETo * kc$$

Where ETc is crop evapotranspiration (mm/day); ETo is reference evapotranspiration (mm/day) and Kc is crop coefficient (Fraction)

The net irrigation requirement was calculated using the following equation.

$$NIR = ETc - Pe$$

Where NIR is net irrigation water requirement (mm); ETc is crop evapotranspiration) (mm/day) and Pe is effective rainfall (mm)

The amount of water applied during an irrigation event is gross irrigation and obtained by dividing the net irrigation required by application efficiency, which was assumed as 60%.

$$GIR = \frac{NIR}{Ea}$$

Where, GIR is gross irrigation requirement (mm); NIR is net irrigation water requirement (mm) and Ea is application efficiency (%)

The number of days between two subsequent irrigations, irrigation scheduling, was determined by using equation.

$$Irrigation\ interval(II) = \frac{NIR}{ETc}$$

Irrigation Water Application Methods

Irrigation water was applied to each plot using furrow irrigation systems. Measured depths of irrigation water were delivered to each plot according to the treatment arrangements through a 3-inch partial flume. Irrigation was started just after planting based on the arrangement of the treatment.

The following formula was used to calculate the time for a specific depth of water application.

$$t = \frac{a * d}{q * 6}$$

Where, T is time (min); q is the flow rate (l/s); a is an area of the plot to be irrigated (m^2) and d is the depth of water (cm)

Water productivity (WP) is the amount of onion bulbyield per irrigation water applied.

$$WP = \frac{harvested\ bulb\ yield}{total\ water\ used}$$

Where, WP is crop water productivity (kg/m³), harvested bulb yield(kg/ha) and total water used is the seasonal crop water consumption by evapotranspiration (m³/ha).

Statistical Analysis

Analyses of variances for the data recorded were conducted using SAS 9 statistical software carried out using least significance difference (LSD) test at 5% probability used for mean separation when the analysis of variance indicated the presence of significant treatment differences.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil result of the Study Area

The result of the soil analysis from the experimental site showed that the average composition of sand, silt and clay percentages were 22.5, 46.5 and 31%, respectively. Thus, according to the USDA soil textural classification, the percent particle size determination for experimental site revealed that the soil texture could be classified as clay soil. Moreover, the pH value of the experimental site was 5.76-5.86 and this value falls in the pH range that is conducive for onion production. The total available water (TAW) that is the amount of water that a crop can extract from its root zone is directly related to variation in FC and PWP and its root depth. Onion root depth extends only to 60 cm.

Table 1: Soil characteristics of the study area

Soil properties		Soil depth			
		0-20(cm)	20-40(cm)	40-60(cm)	average
Particle size	Sand%	22	22	26	23.3
	Silt%	32	32	28	30.7
	Clay%	46	46	46	46.0
Textural class		Clay	Clay	Clay	Clay
Bulk density		1.04	1.03	1.32	1.1
pH		5.65	5.96	5.68	5.8
EC(ds/m)		1.45	1.59	1.6	1.5

Climatic Characteristics

The average climatic data (Maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, and sun shine hours) on monthly basis of the study area were obtained from meteorological station. The potential evapotranspiration, ETo was estimated using CROPWAT software version 8.

Table 2: Climatic data of the study area

Month	Min Temp (°C)	Max Temp (°C)	Humidity (%)	Wind (km/day)	Sun Hours	ETo (mm/day)
January	10	29	77	95	7	3.64
February	11	29.7	80	104	6.4	3.8
March	11.8	29.2	86	173	6.3	3.98
April	12.6	28.1	88	130	6.6	3.88
May	12.6	27.3	93	104	6.2	3.54
June	12.3	25.8	92	104	5.3	3.18
July	12.3	24.2	88	95	3.6	2.79
August	12.3	24.6	88	104	4.1	2.98
September	11.6	25.7	91	86	5.1	3.22
October	11	27.7	99	95	6.7	3.49
November	10.3	28.1	89	69	7.2	3.5
December	10.3	28.3	85	69	7.5	3.46
Average	11.5	27.3	88	102	6	3.45

Irrigation Water Requirement of Onion

The crop water requirement of the tested crop is calculated by multiplying the ETo with crop coefficient (Kc). According the seasonal irrigation water requirement of onion for 100% ASMDL, 125% ASMDL, 100% ASMDL, and 75% ASMDL was 445.2mm, 556.5 mm, 445.2 mm, and 419 mm respectively.

Table 3: The total amount of irrigation water applied in each growing season for each treatment

Growth stages	Depth of irrigation water(mm)			
	125%MAD	100%MAD	75%MAD	FP
Initial	45.0	36	34	76.5
Dev	124.4	99.5	95	127.5
Mid	175.5	140.4	130	127.5
Late	211.6	169.3	160	153
Total	556.5	445.2	419	484.5

Onion Response to Different Irrigation Regimes

Analysis of variance has shown non-significant (P< 0. 05) difference in plant height, bulb diameter and

bulb weight of onion and there is a significances differences of total yield and water use efficiency among treatments. Irrigation scheduling have significant effect on bulb diameter, total yield and water use efficiency of onion, but plant height and bulb weight have not affected by irrigation scheduling. Total yield of farmer practice and 125% MAD reduce the yield significantly. The highest total yield and the highest water use efficiency was obtained under 75%MAD.

Table 4: Onion response to scheduling

Treatment	PH(cm)	BD(CM)	BW(gm)	TY(kg/ha)	WUE(kg/m3)
125%MAD	32.8	3.56a	25.75	10873.3bc	2.36c
100%MAD	33.9	3.53a	25.64	12311.6ab	3.35b
75%MAD	34.6	3.36b	26.38	12931.4a	4.66a
Farmer Practice	33.4	3.44ab	24.54	9824.2c	2.03c
CV(%)	8.68	6.67	15.04	18.12	20.11
LSD(0.05)	NS	0.1645	NS	1474	0.4421

PH= Plant height, BD=bulb diameter, BW=bulb weight, TY=total yield, WE=water use efficiency

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

From analyzed result, there is no significant difference between yields of treatment 100%MAD (12311.6kg/ha) with 445.2mm seasonal amount of irrigation water and 75% of MAD (12931.4kg/ha) with 333.9mm seasonal amount of irrigation water. The highest total yield (12931.4kg/ha) was obtained from 75% of MAD by applying 333.9mm of irrigation water. The lowest yield (9824.2kg/ha) was obtained at Farmers practice by applying 484.5mm depth of irrigation water. The highest water use efficiencies of 4.66kg /m-3 is recorded at 75% MAD and the lowest water use efficiency of (2.36kg/m3 and (2.03kg/m3) is recorded at 125MAD and Farmers practice respectively. Therefore, the longer watering interval reduce onion yield, so proper on the right day and amount, frequent but less amount application increase onion production.

REFERENCES

- Allen, R., L. Pereira, D. Raes and M. Smith, (1998). Crop Evapotranspiration, Guidelines for analysis of irrigation system performance assessment of Bhadra command area at disaggregated level. GIS Development net. http://www.gisdevelopmen002pf.htm. Accessed in April 2010.
- Andarzian, B., Bannayan, M., Steduto, P., Mazraeh, H., Barati, M. E., Barati, M.A. and Rahnama, A,(2011). Validation and testing of the Aqua Crop model under full and deficit irrigated wheat production in Iran. Agricultural Water Management, 100: 1-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2011.08.023.
- Blake, G.R.(1965). Bulk density in Methods of Soil Analysis,(Agronomy, No. 9, part 1), C.A. Black, ed. pp. 374-390.
- Doorenbos, J. and W.O. Pruitt., (1977). Guidelines for predicting crop water requirements. FAO, Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 24.FAO, Rome, Italy. 179 p.
- Jensen M.E., 1980. Design and Operation of Farm Irrigation Systems. Monograph 3. American Society of Agricultural Engineering. Michigan, USA.
- Kifle, T. (2019). Evaluation of Irrigation Regime on Tomato (Lycopersicon Esculentum), at Hadero Tunto Zuria Woreda, Ehiopia. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: D*, 19 (6), 27-31.
- Lopez-Urrea, R., Olalla, F. M. D., Montoro, A. and Lopez-Fuster, P. 2009. Single and dual crop coefficients and water requirements for onion (Allium cepa L.) under semiarid conditions. Agricultural WaterManagement,96:1031–1036.
- Mohamed, A.E. and Makki, E.K. (2005). Wheat Response to Irrigation Scheduling. University of Khartoum Journal of Agricultural Sciences 13(1), 53-66.
- Payero, J.O., D.D. Tarkalson, S. Irmak, D. Davison and J.L. Petersen. 2009. Effect of timing of deficit-irrigation allocation on corn evapotranspiration, yield, water use efficiency and dry mass. Agricultural water Management, 96: 1387–1397.
- Pejić, B., Gvozdanović-Varga, Vasić, J., Maksimović, M. and Milić, L. 2008. Yield and evapotranspiration of onion depending on different preirrigation soil moisture.(In Serbian). A Periodical of Scince Research Field and Vegetable Crops, 44:195-202.
- Ritchie, J.T., and B.S. Johnson. 1991. Soil and plant factors affecting evaporation. pp. 363-390. In B.A. Stewart and D.R. Nielsen (eds.) Irrigation of Agricultural Crops, Agron. Mono.No.30, Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI.